SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S201116 A131254 First Appellate District, Div. 4

BERKELEY HILLSIDE PRESERVATION v. CITY OF BERKELEY/(LOGAN)

Petition for review granted

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S188204 D055701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ARANDA, JR., (ANTHONY)

Supplemental briefing ordered

The parties are ordered to submit supplemental briefs on the following issue: Assuming the trial court's failure to include the standard reasonable doubt instruction in its predeliberation charge to the jury constituted federal constitutional error, and that such error is subject to harmless error analysis, was the error harmless as to the conviction of active participation in a criminal street gang in violation of Penal Code section 186.22, subdivision (a)?

Briefs may be in letter form. Opening briefs are to be served and simultaneously filed by both parties no later than Tuesday, May 29, 2012. Reply briefs may be served and filed no later than Tuesday, June 5, 2012.

The parties shall also be prepared to address the above issue at oral argument on Thursday, May 31, 2012.

S200997 D060171 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 THOMPKINS (RUFUS) ON H.C.

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *In re Vicks*, S194129 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S201241 H035242 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. SCHOENBACHLER (CHRISTI)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted. Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Correa*, S163273 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S201479 H034647 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. ROUSE (BRUCE ALLEN)

Petition for review granted; briefing deferred

The petition for review is granted.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Villatoro*, S192531 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.512(d)(2)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520, is deferred pending further order of the court.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S193866

DOWNING (PAUL) ON H.C.

Order to show cause issued; returnable in Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Five

The Director of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause before the Second District Court of Appeal, Division Five, when the matter is placed on calendar, why the remittitur in case number B120629 should not be recalled, why the order dismissing petitioner's appeal should not be vacated, and why petitioner's appeal should not be reinstated. (See *In re Serrano* (1995) 10 Cal.4th 447; *In re Martin* (1962) 58 Cal.2d 133.) The return is to be filed on or before June 22, 2012.

Votes: Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S165302

DICKEY (COLIN RAKER) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied (AA)

The petition for writ of habeas corpus, filed on July 18, 2008, is denied.

Each claim is denied on its merits.

Each claim, with the exception of Claim XIV, is denied as untimely.

Claim I, subclaim B.i, Claim II, subclaims Bi, E, I, K, M, N, O, and P, and Claim III, subclaim F, were raised and rejected in the first petition for writ of habeas corpus and are thus procedurally barred as repetitive under *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, at 735.

Claim I, subclaim B.ii, Claim II, subclaims A, B, C, D, F G, H, J, L, Q, R, S, T, U, V, and W, Claim III, subclaims A, B, C, D, E, G, and H, Claim IV, Claim V, Claim VI, Claim VIII, Claim IX, Claim XI, Claim XII, Claim XV, and Claim XVI could have been, but were not, raised in the first petition for writ of habeas corpus and are thus procedurally barred as successive under *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, at pages 767-768.

Claim IV, Claim VI and Claim X could have been, but were not, raised on appeal and are thus procedurally barred under *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756 at page 759.

Claim XIII is procedurally barred under *In re Seaton* (2004) 34 Cal.4th 193, 201, fn. 4, because it was not preserved at trial and was forfeited on appeal.

Justice Werdegar would deny Claim XIII solely on the merits.

S200786 B227958 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. COLVIN (WILLAIM FRANK)

Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied

S200890 G044937 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 FERRARO (MICHAEL H.) v. FERRARO (MARTIN A.)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S200950 B223129 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 NEDERLANDER (TAYLOR SUSAN) v. PAPIANO (NEIL)

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S200956 H036783 Sixth Appellate District ASHBY (GREGORY SCOTT)
ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S200983 G044888 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 REDDI (SATYA V. & LAKSHMI), MARRIAGE OF

Petition for review denied

S201023 B232856 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. SIMONIAN (DANIEL)

S201067 A132939 First Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. McCROHAN (JAMES T.)

Petition for review denied

S201068 D057761 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. BEWLEY (ORLAGH ANN)

Petition for review denied

S201096 C065897 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. PRENTICE (DANTE)

Petition for review denied

S201099 A133742 First Appellate District, Div. 5 PROBST (BRIAN) v. S.C.

(HEALTH NET OF CALIFORNIA, INC.; HEALTH NET, INC.)

Petition for review denied

S201129 C070457 Third Appellate District JACKSON (HEATHER) v. S.C.

(HONEYWELL

INTERNATIONAL, INC.)

Petition for review denied

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S201150 B239605 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 VANSTANE (ADAM) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S201180 B230302 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. WALANTUS

(CHARLES JESS)

Petition for review denied

S201196 A131831 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. LOWDER (MICHAEL DAVID)

PEOPLE v. LOWDER S201198 A131829 First Appellate District, Div. 4 (MICHAEL DAVID) Petition for review denied B225631 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 S201264 **CERRITOS VILLAS HOMEOWNERS** ASSOCIATION v. CONEY (ARTHUR L.) Petition for review & publication request(s) denied S201265 B225024 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. SANDERS (TYRONE) Petition for review denied S201292 A130102 First Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. KINSTLEY (KENNETH RAE) Petition for review denied S201298 A128270 First Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. NAILS (MAURICE) Petition for review denied S201315 B229367 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. LEEKINS (TYRONE MONROE) Petition for review denied D058876 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SAUCEDA (ERIK S201332 **EFRAIN**) Petition for review denied

BALSAM (DANIEL L.) v.

TRANCOS, INC.

S201366 A128485/A129458 First Appellate District, Div. 1

S201397 H036137 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. HAMM (PERRY DAVIS)

Petition for review denied

S201427 C066685 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. VANNALEE

(PATTHANA)

Petition for review denied

S201437 H036260 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. KOZICH (KATHLEEN ANN)

The petition for review is denied without prejudice to any relief to which defendant might be entitled after this court decides *People v. Brown*, \$181963.

S201459 A133614 First Appellate District, Div. 5 LAMPERTI (ANTHONY) v.

U. S. BANCORP

Petition for review denied

S201488 E052149 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. WARE (NATHAN

RAY)

Petition for review denied

S201495 E050939 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. DUFFETT (JEFF)

Petition for review denied

S201496 C064918 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. CURRY (SCOTT

T.)

Petition for review denied

S201501 H036350 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. STAFFORD (STEPHANIE MARIE)

Petition for review denied

S201505 E052146 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. SMITH

(MELANIE)

S201507 G044884 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 KENT (WILLIAM A.) v. AVIS RENT A CAR SYSTEM LLC

Petition for review & publication request(s) denied

S201526 H036574 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. RIOS (JOSE ANTONIO)

Petition for review denied

S201527 A131153 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE D.M.

Petition for review denied

S201531 B230332 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MAYER (MICHAEL DAVID)

Petition for review denied

S201533 B222453 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. HOLLYWOOD (JESSE JAMES)

Petition for review denied

S201542 D057577 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HUFF (LEONARD WAYNE)

Petition for review denied

S201545 C067372 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. JONES (STEVE

DOUGLAS)

Petition for review denied

Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., was recused and did not participate.

S201548 A128171/A130338/A131205

First Appellate District, Div. 5

BHARDWAJ (ANUPAMA & SANJAY), MARRIAGE OF

S201569	C066157 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. JAMES (LOUIS RILEY)				
Petition for review denied						
S201583 Petition for rev	B235454 Second Appellate District, Div. 1	B. (MICHELLE) v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN & FAMILY SERVICES)				
S201585	C063098 Third Appellate District	PEOPLE v. LEE (BEN EDWARD)				
Petition for review denied						
S201594 Petitions for re	B228214 Second Appellate District, Div. 5	PEOPLE v. BLACKWELL (NICKELIS DARNELL)				
S201596	G044235 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3	PEOPLE v. LEE (JUDSON GILLARD)				
Petition for review denied						
S201611	B240076 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	BIRKE (JOHN) v. S.C. (OAKWOOD WOODLAND				
Petition for review denied HILLS LESSEE LLC)						
S201626 Petition for rev	B223588 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 view denied	PEOPLE v. SCHUCK (SEAN)				
S201638	B224757 Second Appellate District, Div. 4	PEOPLE v. MANKYAN (HOVIK)				
Petition for review denied						

S201651 C066183 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (JEROME)

Petition for review denied

S201659 F061139 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CHAMBERS, JR., (ROBERT EDWARD)

Petition for review denied

Kennard, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.

S201675 D057055 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MITCHELL (MIKE EARL)

Petition for review denied

S201676 E052165 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. CHAPMAN (FRANK HENRY)

Petition for review denied

S201679 B229120 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 NELSON (GEORGE) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Petition for review denied

Baxter and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S201685 B228301 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. VELASQUEZ (ERNESTO)

Petition for review denied

S201687 B227669 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MARIA DEL ROSARIO)

Petition for review denied

S201688 B229103 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. AYREE (PRINCE)

S201689 B239529 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 DOMINGUEZ (MARVIN J.) ON H.C.

Petition for review denied

S201693 D057757/D056865 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 COLLINS (DELTA M.) v.

MANUFACTURED STRUCTURES INTERNATIONAL, INC.

Petition for review denied

S201694 B225444 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. LEE (CHARLES

FREDERICK)

Petition for review denied

S201696 E052150 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. RAMOS (JUAN

PABLO)

Petition for review denied

S201699 A130701 First Appellate District, Div. 1 ZOCCA (GIOVANNI) v. ZOCCA (RAFFAELE)

Petition for review denied

S201701 B229277 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 PEOPLE v. MANRIQUES

(DOMINGO)

Petition for review denied

S201702 B233351 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 F. (E.) v. S.C. (LOS ANGELES

COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF

MENTAL HEALTH)

Petition for review denied

S201706 B232186 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. WILEY (PAUL

ANTHONY)

PEOPLE v. HURTADO S201708 B228634 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 (ANTHONY) Petition for review denied E052341 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. NELSON S201712 (RAYMOND LESEAN) Petition for review denied S201717 B227521 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MERCATOR PROPERTY CONSULTANTS PTY, LTD v. **SUMAMPOW (ROBBY)** Petition for review denied S201732 B230061 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. POUNCEY (ELIJAH DEMITRICE) Petition for review denied S201733 H036329 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ALEGRE, JR., (ALEJO) Petition for review denied S201746 C070711 Third Appellate District BAIRD (EUGENE) ON H.C. Petition for review denied S201749 H034778 Sixth Appellate District GARCIA (ANDREW) v. **CONMED CORPORATION** Petition for review & depublication request(s) denied S201750 A131365 First Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ESTEEN (CLARENCE J.) Petition for review denied S201770 E051908 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. COLSELL

Petition for review denied

(MICHAEL JAY)

S201784 D058577 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. RENOJ (ANTONIO DE JESUS) Petition for review denied A134300 First Appellate District, Div. 5 SHEK (JOHN) v. WORKERS' S201799 **COMPENSATION APPEALS BOARD & CHILDREN** HOSPITAL OF OAKLAND Petition for review denied S201816 G044598 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. BUSTILLOS (FRANCISCO JAVIER) Petition for review denied D057805 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 S201840 PEOPLE v. GUNTHER (JOHN N.) Petition for review denied S201841 G044392 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. RIVERA (JAIME **RODRIGUEZ**) Petition for review denied S201850 D059844 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. DICKSON (JAMES) Petition for review denied S201854 C064509 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HACKETT (GERALD) Petition for review denied S201883 C070656 Third Appellate District WALKER (ROSCOE) v. S.C. (FORD MOTOR COMPANY) Petition for review denied

S201885 D058404 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. SUTTON (PATRICK DEMOND)

Petition for review denied

S202614 E052902 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 EAGLIN (SHEA) v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

Petition for review & application for stay denied Baxter and Corrigan, JJ., were recused and did not participate.

S198210 NGO (TIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S198384 HARRIS (WAYDE HOLLIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S198414 GARIBAY (JOHN S.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S198456 LANGSTON (WALTER SHANE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S198516 HAWTHORNE, JR., (RALPH KELLY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798.)

S198650 BILLIARD (STEPHAN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S199525 MERAZ (ROBERT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S199729 THOMAS (RAHSAAN) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S199834 ORNELAS (JORGE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 797-798.)

S199928 KORNEGAY (TIMOTHY) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S199959 VEGA (LUIS ALONSO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S199996 FRANCOIS (JUSTIN M.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See In re Robbins (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S200009 SUASTEGUI (LUIS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200021 OCHOA (CELERINO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200026 TIDWELL, SR., (JUAN M.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200051 MOUSER (ROBERT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S200071 ELLINGER (CHRISTIAN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200076 SRAN (RACHPAL SINGH) ON

H.º

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S200099 HINES (LARRY D.) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200115 O'NEAL (NIGEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200116 NGUYEN (SAN MINH) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200119 VOGELSANG (GREGORY

LEE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200121 PENA (DANIEL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200123 MENDOZA (SIDRONIO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200126 KANGAS (DAVID) ON H.C.

S200129 WRIGHT (MICHAEL WAYNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200170 JACOBS (SHANNON) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200172 GREEN (CLAUDELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200206 LOPEZ (RICHARD) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200223 THIBES (LINDOLFO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200245 BLOUNT (JOSHUA ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S200260 WILLIAMS (KELVIN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200283 PRITCHETTE (KEVIN LIONELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

ANTHONY (STEVE) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S200290

MEJIA (ROBERT) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S200306

DIAZ (CARLOS MANUEL)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Waltreus* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 218, 225.)

S200310

SMITH (TROY WAYNE) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200314

KINCAID (DERON PIERRE)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200320

FUENTES (ALEJANDRO) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200336

YANEZ (RICARDO) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S200346

JOHNSON (DAVID WAYNE)

ON H.C.

SHABAZZ (LENNAL KHABIR) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200401

LAM (DAVID) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S200402

WILLIE (DARREN CHARLES) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200414

CALDERON (TIMOTHY) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759.)

S200417

BLACKWELL (RODNEY

KARL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200421

BLACKWELL (RODNEY

KARL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200435

SOLANO (CARLOS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S200445

THOMPSON (JAMES) ON

H.C.

S200446 JENKINS (EDWARD CHARLES) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200448 NAILLIEUX (JASON WAYNE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200449 WARD (JEFFREY DEANE)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200452 HOLMES (MICHAEL L.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S200462 GUILLEN (THOMAS) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200470 WALKER (GREGORY A.) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200480 ABASTA (RUDY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S200483 FRANKLIN (TIMOTHY WARDELL) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S200493 COOPER (MICHAEL LEE)

ON H.C.

S200496 VALLIER (CARL ANTHONY)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200497 TOUSSAIN (TAYLOR) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200499 HOOVER (MARK ANTHONY) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S200501 ASHLEY (AARON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200515 GUIBA (GERALDO) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200526 CONNER (DONOVAN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200529 BRANTLEY (TIMOTHY THOMAS) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769.)

S200530 GARCIA (ABEL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200552 SMITH (BRIAN) ON H.C.

S200562 BROWN (PETER) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S200575 BASHAW (DALE) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200581 AVENT (RUFUS) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200587 MADDEN (JONATHAN) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780.)

S200618 ANDERSEN (DOUGLAS A.)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S200641 ROSS (BRENDA JEAN) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200649 BOWEN (MICHAEL ALLEN)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200656 ARCHIBALD (EDWARD T.)

ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200672 HENDERSON (BILLY) ON

H.C.

SMITH (ALWIN) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Dixon* (1953) 41 Cal.2d 756, 759; *In re Lindley* (1947) 29 Cal.2d 709, 723.)

S200697

WRIGHT (PATRICIA) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Villa* (2009) 45 Cal.4th 1063, 1069-1070.)

S200710

McNEIL (MICHAEL KARL)

ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474.)

S200711

GOODWIN (PRENELL) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200715

MORRIS (KRISTOFFER) ON

H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200787

WARD (MICHAEL

DURRELL) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200835

WALKER (GREGORY A.) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S200853

RODRIGUEZ (NOEL) ON

H.C.

ASHLEY (AARON) ON H.C.

Petition for writ of habeas corpus denied

S200972

ANDERSEN (DOUGLAS A.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S201149

McNEIL (MICHAEL KARL)
ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Lessard* (1965) 62 Cal.2d 497, 503; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S201390

ANDERSEN (DOUGLAS A.) ON HC.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

S201641

HOLMES (MICHAEL L.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Robbins* (1998) 18 Cal.4th 770, 780; *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304.)

S201928

JUDGE (LARRY LOUIS) ON

H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Dexter* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 921, 925-926.)

S202266

ANDERSEN (DOUGLAS A.) ON H.C.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is denied. (See *In re Clark* (1993) 5 Cal.4th 750, 767-769; *People v. Duvall* (1995) 9 Cal.4th 464, 474; *In re Swain* (1949) 34 Cal.2d 300, 304; *In re Miller* (1941) 17 Cal.2d 734, 735.)

ACCUSATION OF LOCKETT

Order: (dispositive)

The court directs the State Bar Office of Chief Trial Counsel to reopen and further investigate complainant Audrey Lockett's complaint against an attorney (State Bar Inquiry Number 09-O-11715).

S200908 C067462 Third Appellate District

IN RE J.G.

Publication request denied (case closed)

The request for an order directing partial publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

S201095 B229545 Second Appellate District, Div. 6

LANDWATCH SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY v. CAMBRIA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT

Publication requests denied (case closed)

S201142 B227310 Second Appellate District, Div. 7

HENDRICKS (WILLIAM) v. CITY OF REDONDO BEACH

Publication request denied (case closed)

S201175 B234049 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

FIRST AMENDMENT
COALITION v. LOS
ANGELES CITY COUNCIL

Publication request denied (case closed)

S201363 B231427 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

OROZCO (MARIA) v. MERCURY CASUALTY COMPANY

Publication request denied (case closed)

S200709 G045036 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

MAYERS (STEPHEN MICHAEL) v. VOLT MANAGEMENT CORPORATION

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 27, 2012.

S200826 A128900 First Appellate District, Div. 4 TERZIAN-FELIZ (SANDRA)

v. AJAMIAN (VARTAN)/ (AMERICAN

CONTRACTORS

INDEMNITY COMPANY)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 27, 2012.

S200958 C066039 Third Appellate District STOCKTON TEACHERS

ASSOCIATION CTA/NEA v.

STOCKTON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 9, 2012.

S201110 A134736 First Appellate District, Div. 4 MARTINEZ (RAYMOND) ON

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201193 F064364 Fifth Appellate District JIMENEZ (RICHARD) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 3, 2012.

S201272 F063487 Fifth Appellate District PRINCE (PATRICK) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 11, 2012.

S201309 C065237 Third Appellate District FULLER (HEIDI) v. BOWEN (DEBRA)/(BERRYHILL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to June 29, 2012.

S201324 G043201 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. AYACHE (EDWARD ALI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to

July 9, 2012.

S201426 B235231 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **DAVIS (BRUCE) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201430 F061690 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. ACCREDITED SURETY & CASUALTY COMPANY, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 3, 2012.

S201442 D055586 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 THURMAN (LEANDER H.) v. BAYSHORE TRANSIT MANAGEMENT, INC.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201452 B227849 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE CHEYENNE B.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201455 A134950 First Appellate District, Div. 4 WYSINGER (AARON) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201457 A134930 First Appellate District, Div. 3 DeJESUS (ERIBERTO ACOSTA) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 5, 2012.

S201480 B234982 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 VILLANUEVA (DANNY) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201484 B239975 Second Appellate District, Div. 7 MORRISON (KIT) v. S.C. (THOMAS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201486 C064350 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. HOWARD (WILLIE LEE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201497 E052369 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 REALPRO, INC. v. SMITH RESIDUAL COMPANY LLC

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201510 B239560 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 **MURILLO (JOSE) ON H.C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201534 B239849 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 LOS ANGELES, COUNTY OF v. S.C. (ANDERSON-BARKER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 9, 2012.

S201538 A131656 First Appellate District, Div. 1 GLOBAL HAWK

INSURANCE COMPANY v. CENTURY-NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201561 B230067 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 ROSEN (ROBIN) v. SINGH (JAI)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 9, 2012.

S201568 A131917 First Appellate District, Div. 1 SCHOENFELD (RICHARD) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 6, 2012.

S201615 E052272 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. MANSKER (JACK UVON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 11, 2012.

S201619 B228078 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 KURWA (BADRUDIN) v. KISLINGER (MARK B.)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 11, 2012.

S201621 E055909 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **GONZALES (ANGEL) ON H.C.**

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 11, 2012.

S201727 B239664 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 SARDELLA-LAGOMARSINO (MARC) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to July 12, 2012.

S199074 B230859 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ROSE (HAROLD) v. BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief is extended to July 16, 2012.

S165195 PEOPLE v. NAVARRO (ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard I. Targow is hereby appointed to represent appellant Anthony Navarro for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

PEOPLE v. SMITH, JR., (PAUL GORDON)

Order filed

Appellant's "Request to Include 30 Missing Pages in the Computer-Readable Copies of Volume 19 of the Reporter's Transcript in the Certified Record and to Permit Supplemental Briefing by Both Appellant and Respondent," filed on April 18, 2012, is granted in part and denied in part. The request is denied insofar as it asks this court to direct the superior court to conform the computer-readable disks to the certified hard-copy record in this case. Instead, the Clerk is directed to provide copies of the missing pages, as attached to appellant's request, consisting of volume 19, pages 5231-5259, to counsel for respondent and the other entities listed in California Rules of Court, rule 8.622(e)(2). The request is granted insofar as it seeks authorization to file any supplemental briefing that counsel for respondent may deem necessary with respect to Argument X of appellant's opening brief. Any such supplemental briefing must be served and filed within 30 days of the date of this order. Appellant will then have 30 days from the filing of any such supplemental briefing to serve and file any supplemental briefing in reply.

S202415

CAVINESS (STEPHEN A.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S202559

BAR ADMISSION 2012 (FEBRUARY 2012)

General Bar admission order filed

The written motion #1,030 of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted as attorneys at law in all courts of the State of California upon their taking the prescribed oath before a competent officer on or after May 23, 2012, and within the time limits specified by Title 4, Division 1 of the Rules of the State Bar of California, is hereby granted: (SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

S201622 ACCUSATION OF GARRETT

Petition denied (accusation)

S201711 ACCUSATION OF DITTO

Petition denied (accusation)

DIFIORE ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of JOHN LELAND DiFIORE, State Bar Number 136971, is revoked. The court further orders that JOHN LELAND DiFIORE is suspended from the practice of law for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN LELAND DiFIORE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first year of his probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on August 28, 2011), and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JOHN LELAND DiFIORE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on March 7, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN LELAND DiFIORE has complied with all conditions of probation, the two year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S192067

HERTZ ON DISCIPLINE

Probation revoked

The court orders that the probation of STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ, State Bar Number 153971, is revoked. The court further orders that:

- 1. STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of one year, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He provides the Office of Probation with proof that he has complied with the fee arbitration condition, as set forth in the State Bar Court's Order Granting Motion to Revoke Probation and for Order of Involuntary Inactive Enrollment, filed on February 16, 2012; and
 - ii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the previous condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ is given credit towards the one-year suspension for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on February 19, 2012.

STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and

perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200179

LINNEBALL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN EDWARD LINNEBALL, State Bar Number 181795, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JOHN EDWARD LINNEBALL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200188

CICCONI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DREW ALLAN CICCONI, State Bar Number 83202, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DREW ALLAN CICCONI is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. DREW ALLAN CICCONI must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 3, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DREW ALLAN CICCONI has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DREW ALLAN CICCONI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If DREW ALLAN CICCONI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

DAVIS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HERBERT DAVIS, State Bar Number 30870, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HERBERT DAVIS must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 29, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

HERBERT DAVIS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions(a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200193

DRESDEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that BRAM BEVIS DRESDEN, State Bar Number 63877, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. BRAM BEVIS DRESDEN must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 29, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if BRAM BEVIS DRESDEN has complied with the terms of probation, the One-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

BRAM BEVIS DRESDEN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200198

KOP ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN KARLTON WEN-HAO KOP, State Bar Number 91354, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

1. STEVEN KARLTON WEN-HAO KOP is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30

- days of probation;
- 2. STEVEN KARLTON WEN-HAO KOP must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 19, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN KARLTON WEN-HAO KOP has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If STEVEN KARLTON WEN-HAO KOP fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S200313

MORTVEDT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT, State Bar Number 176817, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation:
- 2. RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 13, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If RONALD ALLEN MORTVEDT fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

SCHAR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VADIM SCHAR, State Bar Number 224699, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. VADIM SCHAR is suspended from the practice of law for one year and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. VADIM SCHAR is given credit towards the one-year suspension for the period of interim suspension which commenced on February 22, 2011.
- 3. VADIM SCHAR must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on December 29, 2011.
- 4. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VADIM SCHAR has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VADIM SCHAR must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

VADIM SCHAR must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If VADIM SCHAR fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S200327

YELLIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MICHAEL MARC YELLIN, State Bar Number 255050, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MICHAEL MARC YELLIN is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirement is satisfied:
 - i. He must provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice

and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)

- 2. MICHAEL MARC YELLIN must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 9, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MICHAEL MARC YELLIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

MICHAEL MARC YELLIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

MICHAEL MARC YELLIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200328

FEINER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN HERMAN FEINER, State Bar Number 89201, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN HERMAN FEINER is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first six months of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
 - i. He makes restitution to Susan Baird in the amount of \$10,856 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 31, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Susan Baird, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - ii. He makes restitution to Linda Dickerson in the amount of \$27,891.36 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 11, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Linda Dickerson, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
 - iii. He makes restitution to Deborah Loesser in the amount of \$10,486.64 plus 10 percent interest per year from April 11, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Deborah Loesser, in accordance with Business

- and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- iv. He makes restitution to Alan Schaffer in the amount of \$7,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 31, 2007 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Alan Schaffer, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- v. He makes restitution to Savitri Sannassee in the amount of \$25,000 plus 10 percent interest per year from December 31, 2009 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Savitri Sannassee, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles;
- vi. He makes restitution to Eva Taylor in the amount of \$10,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from March 12, 2010 (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to Eva Taylor, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes satisfactory proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles; and
- vii. If he remains suspended for two years or more as a result of not satisfying the preceding condition, he must also provide proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law before his suspension will be terminated. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. JOHN HERMAN FEINER must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 9, 2012.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN HERMAN FEINER has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN HERMAN FEINER must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order, or during the period of his suspension, whichever is longer and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

JOHN HERMAN FEINER must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If JOHN HERMAN FEINER fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

GREENBERG ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT NEAL GREENBERG, State Bar Number 146798, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT NEAL GREENBERG must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 12, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT NEAL GREENBERG has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2014, 2015, and 2016. If ROBERT NEAL GREENBERG fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S200330

HACKETT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WALTER HENRY HACKETT, State Bar Number 230607, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WALTER HENRY HACKETT must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 12, 2012; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WALTER HENRY HACKETT has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

WALTER HENRY HACKETT must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2013, 2014, and 2015. If WALTER HENRY HACKETT fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

JUAREZ ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GEORGE A. JUAREZ, State Bar Number 75295, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GEORGE A. JUAREZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first nine months of probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment which commenced on December 17, 2011;
- 2. GEORGE A. JUAREZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on December 14, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GEORGE A. JUAREZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S200333

KYLE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DAVID KYLE, State Bar Number 55821, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DAVID KYLE is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. DAVID KYLE must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 11, 2012; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DAVID KYLE has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DAVID KYLE must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

DAVID KYLE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.